

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A Hymn to Nergal.—By J. DYNELEY PRINCE, Professor in Columbia University, New York.

The god Nergal, in whose praise the following hymn (British Museum Texts, vol. xv, pl. 14) was composed, had his residence at Cutha, according to numerous passages in the cuneiform literature and also to II. K. xvii, 24-36. The ancient king of Uruk = Erech (modern Warka), Singâmil (ca. 2750 B.C.) was also a devoted adherent of the Nergal-cult, and made various improvements and additions to the temple of this god at Cutha, as well as fostering his worship at Uruk itself (see Jastrow, Religion of Babylonia and Assyria, p. 66, and, especially, Thureau-Dangin, Inscriptions de Sumer et d'Akkad 1905, p. 316).

The present all-Sumerian hymn, whose translation, so far as I know, has not been attempted before, describes Nergal as being 'lord of the decree of Uruk,' obv. 9, which means merely 'the tutelary deity of Uruk.' In fact, the poem especially states that Nergal has set a protecting net about his city (obv. 10, 11, gloss), which plainly indicates the city of Uruk, and not, in this case, the more usually mentioned shrine of Cutha. For this reason, it may, I think, be supposed that this hymn dates from the period of the Uruk dynasty, perhaps from the time of Singâmil himself (note on this epoch, Hilprecht, Mathematical, Metrological and Chronological Tablets, p. 316). Our hymn, then, is peculiarly important from an historical point of view, as being a survival of a Nergal-cult which was in all probability not indigenous.

The reason for the exploitation of Nergal at Uruk probably lies in the fact that the god Lugal-banda, alluded to by Singa-sid, a predecessor of Singâmil's in the Uruk dynasty, appeared as a local deity of Uruk, and this Lugal-banda had certain characteristics which suggested those of the better known

¹ See, however, Hilprecht, Mathematical, Metrological and Chronological Tablets, p. 316.

Nergal of Cutha (see Jastrow, op. cit. p. 95). Here it should be noted that Lugal-banda (banda = TUR-DA) means 'powerful (banda) king' (lugal). On banda see below, Commentary on line 30. The name Nergal undoubtedly also meant 'great (gal) lord' (ner-nir = bêlu 'lord,' Prince, Materials for a Sumerian Lexicon,' 262; perhaps cognate with nun = ruba 'prince,' op. cit. 263). Such a possibly accidental coincidence in meaning between these names and perhaps also certain as yet unknown peculiarities of Lugal-banda, the god local to Uruk, may have given rise to the merging of the Lugal-banda-cult with the Nergal-cult in Uruk.

The writing of the name Nergal in the form Ner-unu-gal (see line 38 below) means 'lord of the lower world'; literally: 'great place' (unu), and may have been a later amplification of the shorter form Ner-gal. To this god and his consort Allatu was assigned the dominion over the lower world which was called 'the great place,' owing to its enormous extent, necessary to contain the souls of all dead human beings.

This hymn is particularly interesting also from the fact that the fiery nature of Nergal (Jastrow, p. 67: Nergal is called 'glowing flame') is especially emphasized (note lines 35–37). Nergal's destructive tendencies are also well described in the reverse, where he is the overwhelming fire and not the fructifying warmth of the sun. Here it should be noted that Dr. Williams Hayes Ward has identified Nergal with a god who appears on the cylinders as surrounded by fire, holding an enemy by the beard, and pushing him against a mountain. It is interesting to observe that Dr. Ward believes in an Elamitic origin for Nergal.

The difference in character between this hymn and those published and discussed by Dr. Josef Böllenrücher (Gebete und Hymnen an Nergal, 1904) is distinctly worthy of notice. The text of the present document is not in good condition and presents many difficulties, a solution of some of which, it is hoped, has herein been suggested with at least approximate correctness.

¹ Indicated throughout this paper by P.

PLATE 14. NO. 22741.

OBVERSE.

1	$oldsymbol{\check{s}u ext{-}lig}(ext{KAL}) ta ext{-}me ext{-}(a)$		
	the mighty one, what is he?		
2	šu-lig(KAL) ta-me-(a)		
	the mighty one, what is he?		
$3. \ldots gal$	$\check{s}\grave{a}(\check{\mathbb{U}})$ $mulu$ $\check{s}u ext{-}lig(m) ext{-}ma$		
great	the ancient one, he who is mighty.		
4	š $\grave{a}(\grave{\mathbb{U}})$ $mulu$ š $u ext{-}lig(m) ext{-}ma$		
• • • •	the ancient one, he who is mighty.		
$5. \ldots ra$	$\check{s}\grave{a}(\grave{\mathbb{U}})\ mulu\ \check{s}u ext{-}lig(m) ext{-}ma$		
	the ancient one, he who is mighty.		
$6. \ldots ra$	$\check{s}\grave{a}(\grave{\mathbb{U}})\ mulu\ \check{s}u ext{-}lig(m) ext{-}ma$		
	the ancient one, he who is mighty.		
7	$\check{sd}(\grave{\mathbb{U}})\ mulu\ \check{su}$ -li $g(m)$ - ma		
	the ancient one, he who is mighty.		
8	$\check{s}\grave{a}$ ($\check{\mathbb{U}}$) $mulu\;\check{s}u$ - $lig(m)$ - ma		
	the ancient one, he who is mighty.		
9 en sal-dua(KA)-ku (Ur			
9. en sal-dug(KA)-ku ($Uruk$)-ki-ga š $d(U)$ mulu šu-lig(m)-ma Lord of the decree of Erech; the ancient one, he who is mighty.			
10. $ \dot{s}u$ -lig (m) $i\dot{s}i$ -bar-ra sa			
	divider; the net he fashioneth;		
11. $tup(KU)$ - $pa im$ - ma tub(KU)-ba eri-na ki- 🏏 sa ne-ku-ku		
As a double garment (a garment for his city, etc.) the net he setteth.			
12. te - (ba) - ru - ub - ga - en te - ba - zi - gi - en			
What is like unto thee? What is like unto thee?			
13. u-mu-un-mu su-zi ki e-ne ne-gurru(?)			
O my lord of glory! He is the one who overthroweth.			
14. i -de zi -da- zu ib - ni ba - an - $gid(BU)$			
As for thy right eye, its wrath is long enduring.			
15. $lig(\text{KAL}) \ tuk \check{s}\grave{u}\text{-}gid(\text{BU})\text{-}zu \check{s}\check{e}\check{s}\text{-}e \ ba\text{-}an\text{-}si$			
Thou who art mighty, thy penetrating host is fraught with			
evil.			
	$ ext{KAL}) \; nu ext{-}zu ext{-}ra \; mu ext{-}un ext{-}na ext{-}an ext{-}gi - gi$		
Hero, mighty one; against him who knoweth him not he			
,g, o, u.g			

turneth.

- 17. e-gal-la šu-dim(PAP-PAP) nu-zu-ra mu-un-na-gì-gì
 The mighty structure; against him who knoweth not he
 turneth.
- 18. kud ešemén (KI-E-NE-DI) nu-zu-ra mu-un-na-an-gì-gì With exultant judgment, against him who knoweth him not he turneth.
- 19. me-e te-ld(LAL)-bi i-de-mu mu-un-kud gu(KA)-mu mu-un-kud

The utterance of that galla cutteth my eye; cutteth my mouth.

- 20. zag-si-mu te-ld(LAL) -xul du-e te-ba dur(KU)-ru-ne-eš

 My full (powered) chief! That evil galla's going what things can abide?
- 21. è-na-ka sar-mu-un-na-ra of his house goeth forth.
- 22. -ta udu-šù-a-ni sar-mu-un-na-ra From . . . his setting goeth forth. 23. . . . al . . . nag-a-ni sar-mu-un-na-ra
- 23. . . . al . . . nag-a-ni sar-mu-un-na-ra
 of his drinking (?) goeth forth.
- 24. a-(na) zid(KU)-da ga-ra-ba-ku
 what with thee can abide?

REVERSE.

- 25. gi-ra-na zid(KU)-? ga-ra-da-ku with thee (?) can abide?
- 26. Kankal(KI-KAL) li-bi-ir ri ak(AK) a-na zid(KU)-da ga-ra-da-ku

The fruitful earth, the ruler maketh destruction of it; what with thee can abide?

- 27. ki li-bi-ir ri-ùr-ku ak(AK) a-na zid(KU)? ga-ra-da-ku

 The land the ruler curseth with a mighty gripping blast;
 what with thee can abide?
- 28. kankal (KI-KAL?) ne-ra ukib-bi?? a-na zid(KU)-da ga-ra-da-ku
 - Fruitful earth . . . power of vegetation . . . What with thee can abide?
- 29. lig-lig di mu-ub-ra(DU) a mu-lu mu-ga-a-an-sar

 Truly mighty with judgment he goeth; a father (?) who goeth forth.

- 30. lig en banda (TUR-DA) gur-ru-na-ta te me-e gu (KA)-di
 The mighty one, the strong lord, when he overthroweth,
 what is it that he saith?
- 31. $er(A-\check{S}I)$ -ra nam-ba-da-ku xul-bil (NE) $er(A-\check{S}I)$ -da ku-ma-da
 - For weeping he is clothed; with evil fire for weeping he is clothed.
- 32. $er(A-\check{S}I)$ -da a-nir-da ku-ma-da $er(A-\check{S}I)$ -da ku-ma-da For weeping, for lamentation he is clothed; for weeping he is clothed.
- 33. šà(LIBBU) nu šá(Ù)-li-ne ku-ma-da er(A-ŠI)-da ku-ma-da The heart of the man (god) is clothed with a gathering of fire; for weeping he is clothed.
- 34. maš nu za(NI)-la gi(= zi?)-da ku-ma-da er(A-ŠI)-da ku-ma-da
 - The leader who shineth; with justice he is clothed; for weeping he is clothed.
- 35. giš-a-am dimmer-giš bil-ga dub ku-ma-da er(A-ŠI)-da kuma-da
 - Verily he is mighty; a strong god, with a fiery surrounding he is enveloped; for weeping he is clothed.
- 36. a-lig (KAL) ku-zu nu-uš ne-in-tuk maš zu-ni-eš mi-in-mal (IK)
 - Powerful one who verily is a prince of wisdom; leader who has wrought wisely.
- 37. giš-a-am dimmer-giš bil-ga dub ku-zu nu-uš ne-in-tuk mašzu-ni-eš mi-in-mal (IK):
 - Verily he is mighty; a strong god, surrounded by fire; a prince of wisdom; leader who hath wrought wisely.
- 38. XXXVII, er(A-ŠI)-lib (m)-ma dimmer Ner- (unu-) gal.

thirty-seven (lines). Penitential hymn to the god Nergal.

COMMENTARY.

OBVERSE.

1. Šu-lig can only mean 'mighty;' šu = 'hand, power, Br., 7069; lig(KAL) = dannu 'powerful,' Br. 6194. On the value lig = KAL, see P. 223-4. Note Br. 7188: ŠU-KAL, with val. šugubru = abāru 'be powerful.' It is possible,

however, that ŠU-KAL was also sometimes read *šu-kal*, because the combination seems to appear in *papsukal* 'powerful protector.' See Prince, JBL xxiii. 74, note 5. The value of KAL in the present inscription is probably *lig* (see below on line 3).

Ta me-a 'what is (he)'? $Ta = min\hat{a}$ 'what?', IV. 30, 12a; 15a. Note also ta-a-an = ta-am, Muss-Arnolt, 556a. The interrogative element ta is probably connected with te in te-ba (see below on line 12).

 $Me-a = ba\check{s}\check{u}$ 'to be,' Br. 10549 and note on rev. 30. This is ES. for EK. $me-en = ba\check{s}\check{u}$, P. Introd. ii. § 4, 71.

3. Ša (Ù) = labiru 'ancient,' Br. 9465. I read ES. mulu 'who' for the man-sign, Br. 6398 (see Br. 1340; mulu = relative pron. ša). Note P. 244, s.v. mulu.

In \S{u} -lig(m)-ma, the final consonant of lig(m) was clearly a nasal, as indicated by the corroborative suffix -ma. This seems to fix the reading lim, perhaps ling, for KAL. Note above on line 1.

9. After en the signs seem clearly to be sal-dug(KA)-ku = sal-dug-gu, which I regard as a variant of Br. 10921; $sal\text{-}dug(KA)\text{-}ga = kunn\hat{u}$ 'duly appoint'; taknitu 'duly appointed spouse'; cf. Prince, JAOS. xxiv. 111. Sal is the abstract prefix, because, as the feminine sign, it indicates a thing in general; note the Vergilian line varium et mutabile semper femina. On the abstract use of sal, see Br. 10955: $sal\text{-}šalg = damq\acute{u}tu$ 'favor'; 10958: sal-xul = limuttu 'evil.' In sal-dug (KA)-gu(KU), we have the abstract sal-dug (KA) 'speak, word,' P. 89, and gu (KU), which may also mean 'word,' as the sound gu = KA. Sal-dug-gu, then, means 'utterance, decree.'

(*Uruk*)-*ki-ga* = 'of Erech.' The remains of the *Uruk*-sign, for which see Amiaud, 51, are quite clear here. See above Introduction on Nergal's connection with *Uruk*-Erech.

10. *Iši* (IŠ)-bar-ra can only mean 'dust-divider' or 'scatterer.' Cf. $i\check{s}i=\check{\mathbf{I}}\check{\mathbf{S}}=\acute{e}pru$ 'dust,' Br. 5083. Bar, whose primary meaning is 'divide, penetrate' (P. 53-4) = $par\acute{a}su$ 'separate,' Br. 1786.

Sa = šėtu 'net,' Br. 3083 ('something twined together,' P. 278). Ga in ga-ak is the prefix, but not necessarily with precative force; Br. 273: ga-ba-an-ru-ru (KAK-KAK) = iqqar;

1697: ga-ti-li-ne-en = aballut. See also P. xxvii on optative ga-. Ak = AK is the usual word for $ep\tilde{e}\check{s}u$ 'do, make,' Br. 2778.

11. Tup(KU)-pa is explained by the gloss as being equivalent to tub(KU)-ba. The writing is KU-pa; gloss KU-ba. Note ku-ba, Br. 10567 = nalbašu 'garment'; 10568: lubuštu 'clothing.' On the value tub = KU = lubuštu, cubātu, nalbašu, see Br. 10512 and P. 334, s.v. tub. The 'double (im) garment' means 'an all-enveloping garment,' explained by the gloss as being 'for his city' = eri-na. The following ki-means most probably 'etc.,' indicating that the gloss-reading is to be followed by the words of the text; sa ne-ku-ku. The same use of ki occurs in Nimrod epic, xi. 143–145.

It is quite clear that the qualifying signs to ku-pa are im-ma. This is im, Br. 4816. Note Br. 4821, this $im = \check{s}an\check{a}$ 'double.'

I regard ku-ku in ne-ku-ku as being equivalent to ku-ku = calalu 'set,' Br. 10645, or perhaps better to ku = nadu 'set,' Br. 10542, which could very well be used of setting a net, in this instance for the protection of the god's city.

- 12. Te-ba (ba not clear, but evident) I must regard as meaning 'what'?' Note te=mina 'what?', Br. 7697, clearly cognitive with ta=mina 'what' (see above on lines 1-2). The element -rub- is most puzzling. In view of the second half of this line: te-ba-zi-gi-en 'who is like unto thee'? (zi=za-e 'thee' + gen=gim, postposition 'like'), I must consider -rub- to be a variant of the more ordinary infix of the 2 p. -rab- (rhotacism for zab, P. xxxii). Te-ba also occurs, obv. 20 below. Ga-en, then, is probably like gi-en=gen, a variant of the postposition gim 'like unto.' For tebazigen, cf. vol. xv, pl. 15, obv. 21: a-ba-zi-gi-en te-ba 'who like thee can attack'?, where te-ba=te-ga(MAL)=tixa 'attack,' Br. 7726.
- 13. Su-zi = šalummatu 'splendor, glory,' Br. 187, clearly cognate with $su-\check{s}i = \check{s}alummatu$, Br. 235. This variation between z and \check{s} is a plain indication of the spoken character of Sumerian.

Ki e-ne ne-gurru. I am very uncertain as to this phrase. Ki may be rel. ša 'the one who,' as in Sc. 273. E-ne then is ne,' P. 102. I believe that the last sign in the line = gur + ru. Note rev. 30, where gur-ru must = sak apu 'overthrow,' Br. 3355. Here gur-ru is plainly written out. Our sign, in obv. 13, cannot be tab-ru, as the two preceding horizontals are not

even, the lower one projecting, as would be the case with GUR rather than with tab.

14. I-de (ES.) = enu 'eye,' Br. 4004 = EK. igi = SI. Zi-da may mean 'right' (not left), Br. 2312, but it might mean kenu 'firm, just,' Br. 2313. Zu is evidently the suffix of the 2 p. here.

In 14b, we find a most interesting gloss. The sign, I believe, is ib(TUM), but written without precedent with three cornerwedges in the last part of the sign. This probably suggested the sign with value $zib = \check{s}imtu$ 'dusk,' Br. 4689. Another zib-sign, Br. 8195, also $= \check{s}imtan$ 'dusk.' The glossator, therefore, started to explain the unusual sign for ib in 14b, by the two characters zi-ib, but, realizing his error, erased the zi, leaving only ib = the correct pronunciation. The erased sign, I think, was probably zi and not gi. For the real gi sign, see the gloss at the end of the line on BU. This ib = nuggatu 'wrath,' Br. 4959; uggatu 'wrath,' Br. 4961. On the value i-ib, see P. 184.

Ba-an-BU, glossated gi-id, can only mean 'long' = $ar \hat{a}ku$, Br. 7511 (P. 141).

15. The second sign here seems to be a combination of $tuk = i\check{s}\check{u}$ 'be, have,' Br. 11237 + the upright $\check{s}\check{u} = ki\check{s}\check{s}atu$ 'host,' Br. 10832. The combination $\check{S}U$ -BU-zu must mean $\check{s}u$ -gid(BU)-zu 'thy (zu) powerful (gid = BU = kabtu, Br. 7518) host' = $\check{s}\check{u}$. On bu, see also on line 14, just above.

Šeš-e. Šeš can mean dâmu 'blood,' Br. 6439, or limnu 'evil,' Br. 6440. Cf. IV. 2, 51b; 57b; šeš-si = limnu 'evil,' 'be full of evil.' The e-suffix in šeš-e is probably merely a suffix denoting the nomen unitatis. Note on du-e, below in line 20. Šeš-e ban-si can only mean 'is fraught with evil' (for mankind).

16. Ur-sig(MU) is interesting. Note pl. xvii. obv. 5: ur-mu-sag=ur-sag 'hero,' where possibly -sag is merely an indication that mu has the s-g value, perhaps here as in pl. 14, obv. 16, MU = sig. Note Br. 1124: mu = sig, doubtful. My reading here MU = sig would confirm this sig-value for MU. If this is really sig, probably ur was pronounced ur. On the other hand, ur-mu could mean 'hero of name,' 'celebrated hero,' as $mu = \check{s}umu$, Br. 1235.

Nu-zu- + postposition -ra must be a participial construction = 'to him who knoweth not;' viz., 'against him who is not the devotee of the god.'

Mun-nan-gì-gì . Gi = the gunated $gi = t \hat{a} r u$ 'turn,' Br. 6331; cf. also Br. 6336.

- 17. To designate a god as e-gal 'temple,' lit. 'great house'; 'great structure' seems strange, but was perhaps applied here alluding to the great mass of the fiery storm.
- Dr. Robert Lau regards the fourth sign here as a composite writing of PAP-PAP = dim, Br. 1166. This is probably correct, as δu 'power' (see on line 1 above) + dim(PAP-PAP) = $\delta urba$ 'mighty,' Br. 1166, make a possible pleonastic adjectival combination. This, of course, qualifies e-gal.
- 18. Kud or tar has as its primary meaning 'cut, separate,' P. 211. Here, as in line 19, it seems to indicate the smiting power of the god. Note Br. 372: kud = nak dsu 'cut off.' Kud in line 18 may mean 'judgment, power of decision' = dinu, Br. 365.

Ešemén = KI-E-NE-DI, Br. 9747, wrongly read by Brünnow menartu. Rather melultu 'joy,' probably from alálu (see Muss-Arnolt, 549-550). The word ešemén can only mean 'that which is $(m\acute{e}n)$ joy' = eše, evidently cognate with ešeš = KU-XUL, Br. 10658 (P. 109). This eše (ešeš) must be carefully distinguished from $e\breve{s}=er=A-\breve{S}I$, Br. 11602 (P. 108) 'weep' (see below lines 31-2). $Kud=e\breve{s}em\acute{e}n$ can mean then 'with cutting or judgment of joy' = 'exultant judgment.'

19. Me-e, probably = qaltu 'utterance,' Br. 10370, this me being cognate with e-me = lišanu 'tongue' (me preceded by the abstract vowel). See P. 236.

Te-la(LAL) = galla 'destructive demon,' Br. 7732, usually read in Sumerian galla in EK.; mulla(?) in ES.(P. 120). The epithet gallu applied to Nergal here denotes the god's power to annihilate.

Gu(KA) = pi 'mouth,' Br. 538. See below on rev. 30: gu-di.

20. Zag is probably for sag 'head.' Note zag = ZAG, Br. $6468 = a\check{s}aridu$ 'chief.' Zag-si, lit. 'full chief,' as si = mald 'be full,' Br. 3393.

Te-là(LAL)-xul=limnu 'evil,' Br. 9502, is a very common combination.

Du-e = du = alaku 'go,' Br. 4871, with the specifying suffix -e, as above in line 15, s.v. šeš-e.

Dur(KU)-ru must = $a\check{s}abu$ 'dwell, abide,' Br. 10580(10523). The idea is 'who can abide' or 'live'? Note that dur(KU)-ru-ne-e \check{s} is plural: 'what things can abide'?

- 21. Sar-mu-un-na-ra in these lines must be a postpositive conjugation of sar = acn 'go forth,' Br. 4302. See also on rev. 29. Note, moreover, that SAR in this sense has also the value mu, P. 241.
- 22. The sign -ta preceded by must mean 'from' or 'in.'

For udu-šù 'setting' (of the sun), see obv. 24 and note also vol. xv, pl. 10, obv. 15. These mutilated lines are obscure.

- 23. Nag-a-ni 'his drinking' (?) The sign is quite plainly nag, Br. 868; also = immeli, Br. 867, and denotes 'drink.' The sense is not clear.
 - 24. A-(na) zid-da ga-ra-ba-ku. On this, see just below.

REVERSE.

25. The sign precede -gi-ra-na. See above on line 22.

Inasmuch as the following lines, 26-28, show plainly the combination Ku-da=zid-da, which, in view of the context, must mean 'with thee,' the occurrence after zid(KU) of the GA-sign containing TUK in 25:27 rev. is very strange. Are we to regard zid(KU) + this unusual sign as being synonymous with zid(KU)-da of 26:28? The context seems to indicate such a possibility. The strange sign may in fact be an erroneous writing for da (?).

Note that in 24, we find the prefixes ga-ra-ba = ku. This ku must be KU(perhaps with val. $tu\check{s}$) = $a\check{s}abu$ 'dwell, abide,' Br. 10523. That is to say, in lines 26-27: $a\text{-}na\ zid(\text{KU})\text{-}da\ ga\text{-}ra\text{-}da\text{-}ku$ means 'what (a-na) can abide with thee'? a rhetorical question bearing on the greatness of the deity. zi-da probably stands for za-e+da like zi above in 12.

26. Kankal = KI-KAL, Br. 9754. The combination means 'powerful' or 'fruitful earth' (P. 179, s.v. xirim). Note that KI-KAL = teriqtu, Br. 9761, 'green vegetation, verdure.' The sense seems to be that libir = ligir, Br. 6964, per-

haps='governor, artificer'(P. 224)+'destroys'(ri~ak' make destruction'). Note ri=ziq šári' windblast,' iv. 5, 35a. The god is seemingly conceived of as causing a fiery blast over the kankal='fruitful land.' Note rev. 33, where the god is described as being literally clothed in fire.

27. Here $ki = \text{`land,'}\ ircitu$, Br. 9631, is suggested by the preceding kankal = KI-KAL(line 26). The governor makes a mighty (ku) seizing (ur) blast (ri) over the land. Ri - ur - ku + ak 'make' is simply a combination verb, so common in Sumerian. Note that ur = xamamu 'seize,' Br. 11890. Ku = rubu 'mighty,' Br. 10547.

28. This line is very obscure. The sense seems to be that Nergal destroys the fruitful earth and also the *u-kib* 'power of vegetation' or the germinating property of the soil. *U=šammu* 'plant,' perhaps with val. šam, Br. 6027 + kib 'double,' cf. Thureau-Dangin, No. 170-171, showing the original crossed sign = 'double.' Note also Br. 5219: kib-kib-ki = duxxudu šamirsi 'flourish,' said of the mirsu-plant (P. 203). The combination *u-kib* 'plant-increase' occurs also in vol. xv, pl. 19, obv. 6: rev. 5-6, where the same sense seems clear.

The broken signs at the end of line 26 here may have indicated some verb 'to devastate.'

29. Lig-lig 'very mighty.' See on obv. 1.

Di 'with judgment'=dénu, Br. 9525.

Mu-ub-ra(DU) 'he goeth'; cf. pl. 19, rev. 8: mu-ur-ra-ra (DU-DU).

Does a in 29b=abu 'father'? See Br. 11324 and P. 4.

30. Banda (TUR-DA)=ikdu 'strong,' Br. 4138. The signs mean 'be little, young'; hence, 'strong.' See P. 5-12.

Gur-ru-na-ta='in (ta) his (na) overthrowing' (gurru); cf. gur=sakâ-pu 'overthrow,' Br. 3365, and see above on obv. 13.

Te me-e 'what is it?' See above on obv. 1-2. On the other hand, me-e here may=qultu 'utterance,' as in obv. 19, q.v. The phrase would then read: te me-e gu (KA) di 'what utterance doth he utter?'

Gu-di=KA-DE 'pour out mouth.' Gu (KA) =pu 'mouth' (see on obv. 19)+di=DE 'libate, pour out' (Reisner, Hym-nen, 74).

31. Er (A-ŠI) 'water of the eye'; hence 'weeping';=bikitu 'weeping,' Br. 11606; dimtu 'tear,' Br. 11609. Er-ra seems

to mean 'for (ra) weeping'; viz., as a cause of weeping, owing to his destructiveness.

Nam-ba-da-ku probably means 'he verily is clothed,' nam not being necessarily negative in force. Ku = lab d š u 'be clothed,' Br. 10533.

The following refrain ku-ma-da=ku, with postpositive conjugation ma-da, may indicate that this ku was pronounced tum=tug, Br. 10513; i. e., nasalized =gm.

Note er (A-Š1)-da in the refrain. Perhaps 'he is clothed with (da) weeping '= 'he causeth tears.'

- 32. A-nir-da 'for' or 'with lamentation'; cf. Br. 11541 = tašixtu 'lament.' A-nir = 'much water' = 'many tears' (P. 263).
 - 33. $\check{S}\hat{a} = libbu$ 'heart, midst,' Br. 7988.

Nu may perhaps be regarded as the same nu=lu 'man,' seen in combinations such as nu-bandu=lapputtu 'overseer'; $nu-gi\check{s}-\check{s}ar$ 'gardener,' P. 264. Nu may mean 'he is the one'(?). See especially just below on line 34.

 $\check{Sa}(\check{\mathbb{U}})$ -li-ne. $\check{Sa}(\check{\mathbb{U}})$ -li=paxâru \check{sa} išâti 'gather,' said of fire, Br. 9472. I regard -ne as the postposition and I translate \check{sa} -li-ne ku-ma-da 'with a nucleus of fire the heart of the man (god) is clothed,' viz., the god is all fire=destructive force within. Note the modern Arabic expression: en-nâr min juwwa 'the fire within,' to denote, in this instance, the destructive fire of love.

34. Maš=ašáridu 'leader,' B. 1739='the one set aside,' P. 234.

For nu, see just above on line 33.

The sign NI is plainly glossated za; followed by -la=za(l)-la 'shining'=namaru, Br. 5319. This idea comes from the primary signification of the sign NI='oil' (P. 256). He is a shining chief as the god of fire.

Gi-da is probably an erroneous writing for zi-da = kittu 'justice,' Br. 2314. The generally unclear character of this inscription tends to justify such a view, as gi-da here makes no sense.

35. Lines 35-36 and 37 are practically identical. The first five signs of 35 are very badly written.

 $Gi\check{s} = idlu$ 'mighty,' Br. 5702. A-am, usually written \acute{A} -AN $(=\grave{a}m)$, must be an unusually written form of the asseverative suffix: 'verily he is' or 'was.'

Dimmer-giš=ilu idlu 'mighty god.'

Bil-ga dub 'a surrounding (dub) of (ga) fire (bil).' Note bil=išātu 'fire,' Br. 4584. Ga must be the nota-genitivi= ge (KID). Dub=lamā 'surround,' Br. 3927. Cf. the Sumerian loanword in Semitic duppu 'tablet'='envelope of clay' (P. 87). Bil-ga dub ku-ma-da, then,='he is clothed (ku) with a surrounding (dub) of fire' (bil-ga).

36. Ku-zu 'prince of wisdom.' Ku rubu 'prince,' Br. 10547; zu= $nim\hat{e}qi$ 'deep wisdom,' Br. 136.

Nu-uš seems to mean here luman 'verily,' as in Hrozný, 65 (P. 265); a combination of $nu+\check{\operatorname{SID}}=$ 'without number'= 'exceedingly, verily.' See on nu just above, line 33, and note what seems to be the same word in pl. 19, rev. 17: $in\ nu$ -uš 'he verily is the one who.'

Nen-tuk 'he is'; tuk=išu 'to be,' Br. 11237.

Mas = ašaridu, as in 34, q.v. Zu-ni-eš seems to be an adverb with suffix -eš 'wisely.'

Min-mal(IK), ES. for gal=IK=bašá 'to be,' Br. 2238—'he is'; i. e., 'wisely he is'; 'he behaves wisely.'

37. This line differs only from 35-36 in the omission of the refrain ku-ma-da (in 35) and of the expression a-lig (in 36).

38. Er-lib(m)-ma. Thus correctly Dr. Lau. Lib=qulu kuru 'song of woe,' Br. 7271. The entire expression then means 'a weeping (er) woe-song'='a penitential psalm.'

On Ner-(unu)-gal, see above, Introduction.

GLOSSARY OF SUMERIAN WORDS.

a=abu, 29.	bil, 35.	e- me , 19.
aba, 12.	BU, 14.	en, 9.
a- lig , 36.	di = DE, 30.	e- ne , 13.
ak, 10, 26.	di, 29.	er = A-ŠI, 18, 31.
AK, 10.	dim, 17.	eri, 11.
a- am , 35.	$dimmer,\ 35.$	er- $lib(m)$ - ma , 38.
a- na , 24, 25.	du, 20.	$e\check{s}$, 18.
a- nir , 32 .	dub, 35.	$e\check{s}e,~18.$
A-ŠI, 18.	du-e, 15, 20.	ešemén, 18.
ban, 14, 15.	dur, 20.	$e\check{s}e\check{s},\ 18.$
banda, 30.	-e, 15, 20.	ga, 10.
bar, 10.	e- gal , 17.	-ga, 35.

GA, 25.
gaban, 10.
ga- en , 12.
gal=IK, 36.
galla, 19.
garaba-, 24.
garada-, 25.
ge = KID, 35.
$g\acute{e}n, 12.$
gi- da , 34.
gid=BU, 14.
g i a = BC, 14. $g i - g i$, 16.
gim, 12.
giš, 35.
gu = KA, 30.
gu = KU, 9.
gu-di, 30.
gur-ru, 13, 30.
xirim, 26.
xul, 9, 20.
ib = TUM, 14.
i- de , 14.
igi, 14. $im, 11.$
λm , 11.
$immeli,\ 23.$
in-, 36.
IŠ, 10.
<i>iši</i> , 10.
KA, 9, 19.
KA, 9, 19. kankal, 26.
ki, 13, 27.
ki-, 11.
kib, 28.
kib-kib-ki, 28.
KI-KAL, 26. KI-E-NE-DI, 18.
KII 11 90 95
KU, 11, 20, 25.
ku = rubu, 27.
$ku = a\check{s}abu$, 24, 25.
$ku = lab \acute{a} \check{s} u, 31.$

kud, 18. ku-ku, 11. ku-zu, 36. LAL, 19. libir, 26. lig, 1, 29. ligir, 26. -ma-da, 31. MAL, 12. mal=IK, 36.maš, 34, 36. me = 'speak', 19. me-a, 1, 30.me-e, 19, 30.me-en, 1. MU, 16. mu = SAR, 21.mu-ub, 29. mulu, 3.mulla, 19.nag, 23.nen-tuk, 36.NI, 34. nu, 33, 34.nu-banda, 33. nu-giššar, 33. nu- $u\check{s}$, 36. nu-zu, 16. papsukal, 1. PAP-PAP, 17. ra = DU, 29.-ra, 16.rab, 12.ri, 26, 27.ri-ur-ku, 27. rub, 12.ru-ru, 10. sa, 10. sag, 16, 20. sal, 9.

sal-dug-gu, 9. sar, 21. SAR, 21. si, 21su-ši, 13. su-zi, 13. ša, 3. $\check{s}\grave{a} = libbu$, 33. $\check{s}a(\dot{\mathbf{U}})$ -li, 33.šam, 28. $\check{s}e\check{s}$ -e, 15. $\check{s}e\check{s}$ -si, 15. $\check{s}i$, 14. SID, 36. $\check{s}u =$ 'power', 1, 17. $\check{s}\hat{u} = \text{`host'}, 15.$ SU-BU, 15. šu-gid, 15. ŠU-KAL, 1. -ta, 22. ta, 1. ta-a-an, 1. tab-ru, 13. tar, 18. te, 1, 12, 30. te-ba, 1, 12. te-ba-zi-gi-en, 12. tega, 12.te- $l\grave{a}(LAL)$, 19, 20. ti-li, 10. tub-ba, 11. tug = KU, 31.tuk, 15.TUK, 25. TUM = ib, 14. tum = KU, 31.tup-pa, 11. TUR-DA=banda, 30.

udu-šù, 22.

u-kib, 28.

ùr, 27. ur, 16. ur-mu-sag, 16. ur-sag, 16. ur-sig, 16. Uruk, 9. za-e, 12. zag, 20. zal-la=NI, 34. zi, 12, 25. zib, 14. zi-da, 14, 34. zid-da, 4, 25. -zu='thy', 14. zu=nimeqi, 36. zu-ni-e, 36.

GLOSSARY OF ASSYRIAN WORDS.

aballut, 10. abáru, 1. abu, 29.aláku, 20. alâlu, 18. aca, 21.ašábu, 20, 25. ašâridu, 20, 33. énu, 14. eprú, 10. idlu, 35.ikdu, 30.ilu, 35.iggar, 10. ircitu, 27. išú, 15, 36. išátu, 35. uggatu, 14. bašú, 1, 36. bikîtu, 31. gallú, 19. $d\hat{a}mu$, 15. dannu, 1. dimtu, 31.dînu, 18, 29. duxxudu, 28.

duppu, 35.xamâmu, 27. tixu, 12. zîq šári, 26. kabtu, 15. $k\hat{e}nu$, 14. kiššatu, 15. kunn u, 9.labášu, 31. labîru, 3. lama, 35. lapputta, 33.limnu, 15, 20. limuttu, 9. lišánu, 19. lubuštu, 11. luman, 36. mala, 20.melultu, 18. menartu, 18. min vi, 1, 12.mirsu, 28. $nad\vec{u}, 11.$ nalbašu, 11.nakásu, 18.

namáru, 34.

nimégi, 36. nuggatu, 14. sakápu, 13, 30. paxáru ša išáti, 33. parásu, 10. pa, 19, 30. calâlu, 11. cubâtu, 11. qulu kuru, 38.quiltu, 19, 30. ruba, 27, 35. ša, 3, 13. šalummatu, 13. šammu, 28. šanū, 11. šėtu, 10, šimtan, 14.šimtu, 14. *šumu*, 16. šurbu, 17. taknîtu, 9. târu, 16. tašíxtu, 32. teriqtu, 26.